

CS W3134: Data Structures in Java

Lecture #5: Lists, cont'd.

9/21/04

Janak J Parekh

Administrivia

- Homework 1 is out! Who's read it?
 - Let me show you what it should do...
- Textbooks – have you guys been getting them?
The bookstore has some 50 copies left...?

Agenda

- Continue lists

“Unordered” lists

- How do we do...
 - Insert()?
 - Delete()?
 - Find()?
 - Display()?
 - Sort()? (We wait)
- Play with the sample applet
 - Operations include New, Fill, Insert, Find, Delete

Ordered lists

- What’s an ordered list?
- How do we do...
 - Insert()? Book page 60 has a clever technique
 - Once you find the “right point”, slide down in a “bottom-up fashion”
 - Find()? Book page 57
 - *Binary* search
 - Key: play the “number-guessing game”, but as an algorithm. Start in the middle and keep on cutting your search space by half. Let’s look at an example...

Costs

- How much do each of the previous operations cost in the *worst case*?
 - Most are linear, some are unit
- Binary search is special – it’s better than linear time
 - Divide the range by half until too small to divide further == # of comparisons needed
 - Reverse: what’s the range that can be covered with n steps? (Book page 63)
 - i.e., $r = 2^n$
 - What’s this expressed as in terms of s ?
 - $s = \log_2 r$
 - Algorithm grows *logarithmically*

Formalizing costs

- Terminology differs based on details; we'll go light
- Time to insert one element is some constant K
 - e.g., $T(N) = K$
- Time to search for an element (linearly) is $T(N) = K * N$
- “Big-Oh Notation”: upper-bound on worst-case time
 - We drop the constant K – for *sufficiently large* N , the constant is unimportant
 - To be precise, we find a function $F(x)$, where $T(x)$ is $O(F(x))$ if $|T(x)| \leq K|F(x)|$ for some $x > c$
 - The idea of doubling your computer's speed is embedded in K
 - $T(N) = O(N)$, for example

Examples of costs

- For lists using arrays?
 - Linear search: $O(N)$
 - Etc.
 - Draw a graph of the comparative costs, page 72
- What are bad about arrays?
 - Slow search in unordered, slow insert in ordered – can we speed both? Yes
 - Fixed size: can we change that? Yes

Sorts

- Applets!
- Bubble (p. 85)
 - Sort pairwise repeatedly
 - Biggest placed each time
- Selection (p. 89)
 - Search for smallest, swap with first
 - Search for smallest, swap with second
- Insertion (p. 95)
 - Take the next one, and put it into the existing sorted subset
- All $O(n^2)$
 - But they're not the exact same performance
- Let's write out a little bit of pseudocode for each

Next Time

- Finish sorting
- Stacks
