Parallelized Fractal Image Generation in Haskell

Mandelbrot and Julia Sets with Parallel Strategies

Max Zhang, Isabel Tu

Introduction

Fractals like the Mandelbrot and Julia sets require intensive computation to generate images.

The goal is to leverage **parallel programming** in Haskell to reduce execution time using multi-core processors.

Objectives:

- Implement sequential and parallel versions of the fractal generator.
- Explore strategies: **parBuffer**, **Repa**, and more.
- Analyze performance with **speedup graphs** and **ThreadScope**.



The Mandelbrot and Julia Sets

2.1 Mandelbrot Set

The Mandelbrot set is defined as the set of complex numbers c for which the sequence defined by:

$$z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c, \quad z_0 = 0 \tag{1}$$

remains bounded. A point is considered part of the Mandelbrot set if $|z_n| \leq 2$ for all iterations n. The number of iterations before $|z_n| > 2$ determines the color of the corresponding pixel in the fractal image.

2.2 Julia Set

The Julia set is generated similarly to the Mandelbrot set but with a fixed complex parameter c instead of varying it for each pixel. For a given complex parameter c, the Julia set includes all points z_0 where the sequence:

$$z_{n+1} = z_n^2 + c \tag{2}$$

remains bounded.

Fractal Generation - Core Computation

We are generating an image where each pixel maps to a point in the **complex plane**.

Escape Time: Number of iterations before |z| > 2

Computation challenge:

- Millions of pixels.
- Many of iterations per pixel.

function mandelbrotIter(c, maxIter):

z = 0
iterations = 0
while |z| <= 2 and iterations < maxIter:
 z = z^2 + c
 iterations += 1
return iterations</pre>

Escape Time Computation

```
mandelbrotIterations :: Double -> Double -> Int
mandelbrotIterations cr ci = go 0 0 0
    go !i !zr !zi
       i == maxIter || zr*zr + zi*zi > 4.0 = i
        otherwise =
          let zr' = zr*zr - zi*zi + cr
              zi' = 2*zr*zi + ci
          in go (i+1) zr' zi'
juliaIterations :: (Double, Double) -> Double -> Double -> Int
juliaIterations (cr, ci) zr zi = go 0 zr zi
    qo i zr zi
       i == maxIter || zr*zr + zi*zi > 4.0 = i
        otherwise =
          let zr' = zr*zr - zi*zi + cr
              zi' = 2*zr*zi + ci
          in go (i+1) zr' zi'
```

We found that a recursive approach greatly improved performance and parallelism. Also avoiding computationally expensive sqrt by checking if square of the number > 4.

Computing with Parallel Strategies



Computing Grid using REPA

```
computeRepa :: (Double -> Double -> Int) -> Int -> Int -> (Double, Double) -> IO (R.Array R.U R.DIM2 (Word8,
Word8, Word8))
computeRepa iterationFn width height bounds =
    R.computeUnboxedP $ R.fromFunction (Z :. height :. width) $ \(Z :. y :. x) ->
    let (re, im) = pixelToCoord x y width height bounds
    in iterationToColor (iterationFn re im)
```





Sequential Implementation (Baseline)

Description:

- Computes each pixel's escape time sequentially.
- No parallelism or load balancing.

Results:

- Mandelbrot (1 core): **1.959s**
- Julia (1 core): **0.810s**

Parallel Strategy - parBuffer

Description:

- Divides the pixel grid into chunks using parBuffer
- Dynamically allocates tasks to threads, allowing chunks to be processed in parallel as threads become available.
- This dynamic scheduling helps mitigate load imbalance more effectively compared to fixed chunking strategies.

Execution Times:

- Mandelbrot:
 - 1 core: **3.156s**
 - 4 cores: **1.18s**
 - 8 cores: 1.435s
 - Best speedup: **2.67x**



Observed Speedup vs. Thread Count



Parallel Strategy - Repa

Description:

- Defines the pixel grid using fromFunction, where each element is computed based on its coordinates.
- Uses computeUnboxedP to evaluate the entire grid in parallel.
- The data-parallel approach processes the grid uniformly but struggles with workload variability and sequential bottlenecks, leading to no significant improvement over the sequential implementation.

Execution Times:

- Mandelbrot:
 - 1 core: **3.083s**
 - 4 cores: 2.767s
 - 8 cores: 2.424s
 - Best speedup: 1.46x



Observed Speedup vs. Thread Count



Issues with Parallelization of Julia

- Unpredictable workloads: Iteration counts vary across the grid.
- Sequential bottlenecks: Faster base runtime amplifies unparallelized steps.
- High overhead: Parallel overhead outweighs runtime gains.



Threadscope





parBuffer

Repa



https://complex-analysis.com/content/mandelbrot_set.html

https://complex-analysis.com/content/julia_set.html