## Propositional Proof Complexity Assignment # 1 Due: Monday March 24, 2025

1. Give a Sequent Calculus proof of the following sequent.

$$(x_1 \lor x_2), (y_1 \lor y_2), (z_1 \lor z_2) \to (x_1 \land y_1), (x_1 \land z_1), (y_1 \land z_1), (x_2 \land y_2), (x_2 \land z_2), (y_2 \land z_2)$$

- 2. Prove that any unsatisfiable 2CNF formula has a Resolution refutation of polynomial size.
- 3. The mod 2 counting principle,  $\operatorname{Mod}_{2n}$  asserts that there is no perfect matching on an odd number of vertices. The negation of the mod 2 counting principle,  $\neg \operatorname{Mod}_{2n}$  is a CNF formula with underlying variables  $x_{i,j}$  for  $i \neq j, i, j \leq 2n+1$  to represent whether or not there is a matching between vertices i and j. The clauses of  $\neg \operatorname{Mod}_{2n}$  are of two types:
  - (i) For every  $i \leq 2n+1$  we have the clause  $(\vee_{j\neq i} x_{i,j})$  stating that each vertex is included in at least one matching.
  - (ii) Secondly, for every  $i, j, k \leq 2n + 1$ ,  $i \neq j \neq k$ , we have the clause  $(\neg x_{ij} \lor \neg x_{i,k})$ , stating that every vertex i is matched with at most one other vertex.

Prove that for n sufficiently large, any tree-like Resolution refutation of  $\neg \text{Mod} 2_n$  requires size  $2^{\Omega(n)}$ .

- 4. Let F be an unsatisfiable 3CNF over variables  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ . The formula  $F \circ \oplus^n$  is a new 6CNF formula a on variables  $x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n$  as follows. First substitute each variable  $z_i$  in F by the expression  $x_i \oplus y_i$  where  $\oplus$  is the XOR function. Then re-write the substituted formula as a 6CNF formula. Note that if F has width w and s clauses, then  $F \circ \oplus^n$  will have with 2w and at most  $s2^w$  clauses. Prove any Resolution refutation of  $F \circ \oplus^n$  has size  $2^{\Omega(\mathbf{w}(F))}$ , where  $\mathbf{w}(F)$  is the minimal width of any Resolution refutation of F.
- 5. (Extra Credit) Improve your lower bound for Question 3 above by showing that any tree-like Resolution refutation of  $\neg \text{Mod}2_n$  requires size  $2^{\Omega(n \log n)}$ .