# COMS 4995 Lecture 2: <br> Multilayer Perceptrons \& Backpropagation 

Richard Zemel

## Limits of Linear Classification

- Single neurons (linear classifiers) are very limited in expressive power.
- XOR is a classic example of a function that's not linearly separable.

- There's an elegant proof using convexity.


## Limits of Linear Classification

## Convex Sets



- A set $\mathcal{S}$ is convex if any line segment connecting points in $\mathcal{S}$ lies entirely within $\mathcal{S}$. Mathematically,

$$
\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2} \in \mathcal{S} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \lambda \mathbf{x}_{1}+(1-\lambda) \mathbf{x}_{2} \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 .
$$

- A simple inductive argument shows that for $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{N} \in \mathcal{S}$, weighted averages, or convex combinations, lie within the set:

$$
\lambda_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{N} \mathbf{x}_{N} \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text { for } \lambda_{i}>0, \quad \lambda_{1}+\cdots \lambda_{N}=1
$$

## Limits of Linear Classification

## Showing that XOR is not linearly separable

- Half-spaces are obviously convex.
- Suppose there were some feasible hypothesis. If the positive examples are in the positive half-space, then the green line segment must be as well.
- Similarly, the red line segment must line within the negative half-space.

- But the intersection can't lie in both half-spaces. Contradiction!


## Limits of Linear Classification

## A more troubling example



- These images represent 16 -dimensional vectors. White $=0$, black $=1$.
- Want to distinguish patterns $A$ and $B$ in all possible translations (with wrap-around)
- Translation invariance is commonly desired in vision!


## Limits of Linear Classification

## A more troubling example



- These images represent 16 -dimensional vectors. White $=0$, black $=1$.
- Want to distinguish patterns $A$ and $B$ in all possible translations (with wrap-around)
- Translation invariance is commonly desired in vision!
- Suppose there's a feasible solution. The average of all translations of A is the vector ( $0.25,0.25, \ldots, 0.25$ ). Therefore, this point must be classified as A.
- Similarly, the average of all translations of $B$ is also $(0.25,0.25, \ldots, 0.25)$. Therefore, it must be classified as B. Contradiction!


## Limits of Linear Classification

- Sometimes we can overcome this limitation using feature maps, just like for linear regression. E.g., for XOR:

|  | $\psi(\mathbf{x})=\left(\begin{array}{c}x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{1} x_{2}\end{array}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $\phi_{1}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\phi_{2}(\mathbf{x})$ | $\phi_{3}(\mathbf{x})$ | $t$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

- This is linearly separable. (Try it!)
- Not a general solution: it can be hard to pick good basis functions. Instead, we'll use neural nets to learn nonlinear hypotheses directly.


## Multilayer Perceptrons

- We can connect lots of units together into a directed acyclic graph.
- This gives a feed-forward neural network. That's in contrast to recurrent neural networks, which can have cycles. (We'll talk about those later.)
- Typically, units are grouped together into layers.



## Multilayer Perceptrons

- Each layer connects $N$ input units to $M$ output units.
- In the simplest case, all input units are connected to all output units. We call this a fully connected layer. We'll consider other layer types later.
- Note: the inputs and outputs for a layer are distinct from the inputs and outputs to the network.
- Recall from softmax regression: this means we need an $M \times N$ weight matrix.
- The output units are a function of the input units:

$$
\mathbf{y}=f(\mathbf{x})=\phi(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b})
$$

- A multilayer network consisting of fully connected layers is called a multilayer perceptron. Despite the name, it has nothing to do with perceptrons!



## Multilayer Perceptrons

Some activation functions:


Linear
$y=z$

Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU)


$$
y=\max (0, z)
$$



## Soft ReLU

$$
y=\log 1+e^{z}
$$

## Multilayer Perceptrons

## Some activation functions:



Hard Threshold

$$
y= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } z>0 \\ 0 & \text { if } z \leq 0\end{cases}
$$



Logistic

$$
y=\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}
$$



Hyperbolic Tangent (tanh)

$$
y=\frac{e^{z}-e^{-z}}{e^{z}+e^{-z}}
$$

## Multilayer Perceptrons

## Designing a network to compute XOR:

Assume hard threshold activation function


## Multilayer Perceptrons



## Multilayer Perceptrons

- Each layer computes a function, so the network computes a composition of functions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{h}^{(1)} & =f^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\mathbf{h}^{(2)} & =f^{(2)}\left(\mathbf{h}^{(1)}\right) \\
& \vdots \\
\mathbf{y} & =f^{(L)}\left(\mathbf{h}^{(L-1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Or more simply:

$$
\mathbf{y}=f^{(L)} \circ \cdots \circ f^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})
$$



- Neural nets provide modularity: we can implement each layer's computations as a black box.


## Feature Learning

- Neural nets can be viewed as a way of learning features:



## Feature Learning

- Neural nets can be viewed as a way of learning features:

- The goal:



## Feature Learning

## Input representation of a digit : 784 dimensional vector.

|  | a.a | a. | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | - | a.a | a.a | a.a | - | .a | - | a.a | 0.a | d.a | a.a | .a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.d | a.a | a. | a.a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a.a | d. | a.a | a.a | a. 1 | . | a | a.a | - | a.a | a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 0 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 1 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.d | a.a | a.a | a.a | a |
| a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | e.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. | a.a | a.a | . $\frac{1}{}$ | a.s | a.a | e.a | a.a | a.a | a.s | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | a.d | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 1 | a. 0 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a, | 0. | 1.a | a. | a.a | a. | a.a | a.d | a. | a. | a.d | a. | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | 0.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 3 | a.a | 0.9 | a. 9 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. | a.a | a.a | 0.0 | a.a | a.a | a |
| a.a | a.a | a. | a. 9 | a.a | a.a | a | a | a.a | a | a. 9 | a.a | 22.4 | 145.9 | . | a. 2 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.s | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. | . 0 | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | . | a.a | 51.3 | 254.a | 148.9 | . | a. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | a.a | a.a | 22.9 | $230 . a$ | 134.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | a. | a.0 | a.a | a.a | . |
| a.a | a.a | $0 . a$ | a. | a. | a.a | a.a | a.a | . | . | a. | 168.19 | 54.a | 115.9 | a.a | a.a | a. 0 | a. 2 | 24. | 253.a | $134 . a$ | a.a | a.d | a. | a. | a.a | a.d | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 2 | a.a | a.a | a.a | 51.8 | 234.0 | 254.a | at.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | 11. | 251.a | 184.0 | a.d | d.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | d.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | 13.9 | 224.0 | 254.0 | 100.3 | a.a | a.a | a.a | -. 1 | a.a | 441 | 254.0 | 127.0 | a.0 | -. | a.a | a.d | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | 144 | 251.a | 251.a | 76.9 | a.a | - | 1. 1 | d. 0 | 1. | 208 | 251.0 | 23.1 | a. | a.d | a.a | a. | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | - 1 | a.a | a.a | a.a | d.a | a.a | a.a | 15.1 | $212 . a$ | 51.a | $108 . a$ | a.a | a.a | -. | a.a | a.a | a. | 207.1 | 2\%3.a | 17.3 | a. | d.a | d.a | a. | a. | a. | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | a. 1 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | 223 |  | 232.9 | 13.1 | a.a | a. 1 | a.a | a.a | a.a | 34.1 | 242 | 251.8 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | d.a | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| a. 1 | a.a | a. | d. | a.a | a.a | 7.0 | 170.a | 254.a | 234.a | 4.a | a.a | a | a | a.a | a.a | a.a | 77. | 84.9 | 254.0 | a. | a. | 0.a | a. | a. | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | -. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | a. | a.a | d.a | a.a | 24 | 213 | 254.a | -51.a | 234 | 163.9 | 47.a | 47.9 | 20.9 | a.a | a. | 13 | 51.9 | z | d | a.a | a.a | d. | a. | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | 0.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | 21.3 | 245.9 | 254.9 | \% | 玉51 | 251.a | 254.a | 25].a | 25.a | 174.9 | 293.0 | 2. | 251. | 177.9 | a.a | a.d | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | 34.a | 16.2 | 11. | 18.9 | 218.9 | 234.a | 253.a | 25].a | 253.a | 234 | -51.a | 244.9 | 12.a | a.a | a.a | 0.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 9 | A | a.a | a.d | 23.a | 142.9 | 122.8 | 23.3 | 170 | 54.a | 239.8 | .a | a. | a. | -a | d.a | c.a | a. 9 | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 3 | a.a | a.a | a. 2 | a.a | a.a | a.a | 153.9 | 551. | 213.4 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | d.a | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| - | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 2 | a.a | a. 0 | a.a | a. $\frac{1}{}$ | a.a | a.a | 170.0 | [5] | 177.1 | a.a | a.a | a.d | a.a | a.a | d.a | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | a. 0 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 2 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 2 | a.a | a.a | . | 230.8 | 5n.a | 177.1 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | d.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | 60.3 | 258.a | 234.a | 50.9 | a.a | a. 1 | a.a | a.a | a.a | d.a | a.a | a.a | a. |
| a.a | a. 3 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | -. 3 | a.a | a.a | a. $a$ | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | 33.9 | 254.a | 251.a | 45.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. |
| a.a | a.a | -. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 3 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | 51.3 | $2 \mathrm{Fs} . \mathrm{a}$ | 215 -a | 3.3 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 0 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 0 | a.a | a.a | a. 0 | a.a | a.a | $135 . a$ | 105.0 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | 0.0 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a |
| a.a | a. 0 | a.a | a.a | a.a | d.a | a. 0 | d.a | -.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | -. | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | d. |
| a.a | a. 9 | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a.a | a. 9 | a.a | a.. | a.a | a.d | a.a | a. | a. |

## Feature Learning

Each first-layer hidden unit computes $\sigma\left(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)$
Here is one of the weight vectors (also called a feature).
It's reshaped into an image, with gray $=0$, white $=+$, black $=-$.
To compute $\mathbf{w}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{x}$, multiply the corresponding pixels, and sum the result.


## Feature Learning

There are 256 first-level features total. Here are some of them.


## Expressive Power

- We've seen that there are some functions that linear classifiers can't represent. Are deep networks any better?
- Any sequence of linear layers can be equivalently represented with a single linear layer.

$$
\mathbf{y}=\underbrace{\mathbf{W}^{(3)} \mathbf{W}^{(2)} \mathbf{W}^{(1)}}_{\triangleq \mathbf{W}^{\prime}} \mathbf{x}
$$

- Deep linear networks are no more expressive than linear regression!
- Linear layers do have their uses - stay tuned!


## Expressive Power

- Multilayer feed-forward neural nets with nonlinear activation functions are universal approximators: they can approximate any function arbitrarily well.
- This has been shown for various activation functions (thresholds, logistic, ReLU, etc.)
- Even though ReLU is "almost" linear, it's nonlinear enough!


## Expressive Power

## Universality for binary inputs and targets:

- Hard threshold hidden units, linear output
- Strategy: $2^{D}$ hidden units, each of which responds to one particular input configuration

| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $t$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ |
| -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ |



- Only requires one hidden layer, though it needs to be extremely wide!


## Expressive Power

- What about the logistic activation function?
- You can approximate a hard threshold by scaling up the weights and biases:

$y=\sigma(x)$

$y=\sigma(5 x)$
- This is good: logistic units are differentiable, so we can tune them with gradient descent. (Stay tuned!)


## Expressive Power

- Limits of universality


## Expressive Power

- Limits of universality
- You may need to represent an exponentially large network.
- If you can learn any function, you'll just overfit.
- Really, we desire a compact representation!


## Expressive Power

- Limits of universality
- You may need to represent an exponentially large network.
- If you can learn any function, you'll just overfit.
- Really, we desire a compact representation!
- We've derived units which compute the functions AND, OR, and NOT. Therefore, any Boolean circuit can be translated into a feed-forward neural net.
- This suggests you might be able to learn compact representations of some complicated functions


## Overview

- We've seen that multilayer neural networks are powerful. But how can we actually learn them?
- Backpropagation is the central algorithm in this course.
- It's is an algorithm for computing gradients.
- Really it's an instance of reverse mode automatic differentiation, which is much more broadly applicable than just neural nets.
- This is "just" a clever and efficient use of the Chain Rule for derivatives.
- We'll see how to implement an automatic differentiation system soon.


## Recap: Gradient Descent

- Recall: gradient descent moves opposite the gradient (the direction of steepest descent)

- Weight space for a multilayer neural net: one coordinate for each weight or bias of the network, in all the layers
- Conceptually, not any different from what we've seen so far - just higher dimensional and harder to visualize!
- We want to compute the cost gradient $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{J} / \mathrm{d} \mathbf{w}$, which is the vector of partial derivatives.
- This is the average of $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{L} / \mathrm{dw}$ over all the training examples, so in this lecture we focus on computing $d \mathcal{L} / \mathrm{dw}$.


## Univariate Chain Rule

- We've already been using the univariate Chain Rule.
- Recall: if $f(x)$ and $x(t)$ are univariate functions, then

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} f(x(t))=\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t} .
$$

## Univariate Chain Rule

## Recall: Univariate logistic least squares model

$$
\begin{aligned}
z & =w x+b \\
y & =\sigma(z) \\
\mathcal{L} & =\frac{1}{2}(y-t)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's compute the loss derivatives.

## Univariate Chain Rule

## How you would have done it in calculus class

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} & =\frac{1}{2}(\sigma(w x+b)-t)^{2} & \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\sigma(w x+b)-t)^{2}\right] \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\sigma(w x+b)-t)^{2}\right] & & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial b}(\sigma(w x+b)-t)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w}(\sigma(w x+b)-t)^{2} & & =(\sigma(w x+b)-t) \frac{\partial}{\partial b}(\sigma(w x+b)-t) \\
& =(\sigma(w x+b)-t) \frac{\partial}{\partial w}(\sigma(w x+b)-t) & & =(\sigma(w x+b)-t) \sigma^{\prime}(w x+b) \frac{\partial}{\partial b}(w x+b) \\
& =(\sigma(w x+b)-t) \sigma^{\prime}(w x+b) \frac{\partial}{\partial w}(w x+b) & & =(\sigma(w x+b)-t) \sigma^{\prime}(w x+b) \\
& =(\sigma(w x+b)-t) \sigma^{\prime}(w x+b) x & &
\end{aligned}
$$

What are the disadvantages of this approach?

## Univariate Chain Rule

## A more structured way to do it

Computing the derivatives:
Computing the loss:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z & =w x+b \\
y & =\sigma(z) \\
\mathcal{L} & =\frac{1}{2}(y-t)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{~d} y} & =y-t \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{~d} z} & =\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{~d} y} \sigma^{\prime}(z) \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w} & =\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{~d} z} x \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b} & =\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{~d} z}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remember, the goal isn't to obtain closed-form solutions, but to be able to write a program that efficiently computes the derivatives.

## Univariate Chain Rule

- We can diagram out the computations using a computation graph.
- The nodes represent all the inputs and computed quantities, and the edges represent which nodes are computed directly as a function of which other nodes.

Compute Loss


Compute Derivatives

## Univariate Chain Rule

A slightly more convenient notation:

- Use $\bar{y}$ to denote the derivative $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{L} / \mathrm{d} y$, sometimes called the error signal.
- This emphasizes that the error signals are just values our program is computing (rather than a mathematical operation).
- This is not a standard notation, but I couldn't find another one that I liked.

Computing the loss:

## Computing the derivatives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z=w x+b \\
& y=\sigma(z) \\
& \mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}(y-t)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{y} & =y-t \\
\bar{z} & =\bar{y} \sigma^{\prime}(z) \\
\bar{w} & =\bar{z} x \\
\bar{b} & =\bar{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Multivariate Chain Rule

Problem: what if the computation graph has fan-out $>1$ ?
This requires the multivariate Chain Rule!

## $L_{2}$-Regularized regression



$$
\begin{aligned}
z & =w x+b \\
y & =\sigma(z) \\
\mathcal{L} & =\frac{1}{2}(y-t)^{2} \\
\mathcal{R} & =\frac{1}{2} w^{2} \\
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{reg}} & =\mathcal{L}+\lambda \mathcal{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiclass logistic regression


$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{\ell} & =\sum_{j} w_{\ell j} x_{j}+b_{\ell} \\
y_{k} & =\frac{e^{z_{k}}}{\sum_{\ell} e^{z_{\ell}}} \\
\mathcal{L} & =-\sum_{k} t_{k} \log y_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Multivariate Chain Rule

- Suppose we have a function $f(x, y)$ and functions $x(t)$ and $y(t)$. (All the variables here are scalar-valued.) Then

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} f(x(t), y(t))=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}
$$



- Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x, y) & =y+e^{x y} \\
x(t) & =\cos t \\
y(t) & =t^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Plug in to Chain Rule:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t} \\
& =\left(y e^{x y}\right) \cdot(-\sin t)+\left(1+x e^{x y}\right) \cdot 2 t
\end{aligned}
$$

## Multivariable Chain Rule

- In the context of backpropagation:

- In our notation:

$$
\bar{t}=\bar{x} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\bar{y} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}
$$

## Backpropagation

## Full backpropagation algorithm:

Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}$ be a topological ordering of the computation graph (i.e. parents come before children.)
$v_{N}$ denotes the variable we're trying to compute derivatives of (e.g. loss).
forward pass $\left[\begin{array}{r}\text { For } i=1, \ldots, N \\ \text { Compute } v_{i} \text { as a function of } \mathrm{Pa}\left(v_{i}\right)\end{array}\right.$
backward pass $\left[\begin{array}{l}\overline{v_{N}}=1 \\ \text { For } i=N-1, \ldots, 1 \\ \overline{v_{i}}=\sum_{j \in \operatorname{Ch}\left(v_{i}\right)} \overline{v_{j}} \frac{\partial v_{j}}{\partial v_{i}}\end{array}\right.$

## Backpropagation

Example: univariate logistic least squares regression


Forward pass:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z & =w x+b \\
y & =\sigma(z) \\
\mathcal{L} & =\frac{1}{2}(y-t)^{2} \\
\mathcal{R} & =\frac{1}{2} w^{2} \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text {reg }} & =\mathcal{L}+\lambda \mathcal{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Backward pass:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mathcal{L}_{\text {reg }}}=1 \\
& \overline{\mathcal{R}}=\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\text {reg }}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{L}_{\text {reg }}}{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{R}} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\bar{z} & =\bar{y} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} z} \\
& =\bar{y} \sigma^{\prime}(z)
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\bar{z} & =\bar{y} \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} z} \\
& =\bar{y} \sigma^{\prime}(z)
\end{aligned} \\
& =\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\text {reg }}} \lambda \\
& \overline{\mathcal{L}}=\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\text {reg }}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{L}_{\text {reg }}}{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{L}} \\
& =\overline{\mathcal{L}_{\text {reg }}} \\
& \bar{y}=\overline{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{L}}{\mathrm{~d} y} \\
& =\overline{\mathcal{L}}(y-t) \\
& \bar{w}=\bar{z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial w}+\overline{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{\mathcal { R }}}{\mathrm{~d} w} \\
& =\bar{z} x+\overline{\mathcal{R}} w \\
& \bar{b}=\bar{z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial b} \\
& =\bar{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Backpropagation

Multilayer Perceptron (multiple outputs):


## Backward pass:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{L}} & =1 \\
\overline{y_{k}} & =\overline{\mathcal{L}}\left(y_{k}-t_{k}\right) \\
\overline{w_{k i}^{(2)}} & =\overline{y_{k}} h_{i} \\
\overline{b_{k}^{(2)}} & =\overline{y_{k}} \\
\overline{h_{i}} & =\sum_{k} \overline{y_{k}} w_{k i}^{(2)} \\
\overline{z_{i}} & =\overline{h_{i}} \sigma^{\prime}\left(z_{i}\right) \\
\overline{w_{i j}^{(1)}} & =\overline{z_{i}} x_{j} \\
\overline{b_{i}^{(1)}} & =\overline{z_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{i} & =\sum_{j} w_{i j}^{(1)} x_{j}+b_{i}^{(1)} \\
h_{i} & =\sigma\left(z_{i}\right) \\
y_{k} & =\sum_{i} w_{k i}^{(2)} h_{i}+b_{k}^{(2)} \\
\mathcal{L} & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k}\left(y_{k}-t_{k}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Vector Form

- Computation graphs showing individual units are cumbersome.
- As you might have guessed, we typically draw graphs over the vectorized variables.

- We pass messages back analogous to the ones for scalar-valued nodes.


## Vector Form

- Consider this computation graph:

- Backprop rules:

$$
\overline{z_{j}}=\sum_{k} \overline{y_{k}} \frac{\partial y_{k}}{\partial z_{j}} \quad \overline{\mathbf{z}}=\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}^{\top}}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \overline{\mathbf{y}}
$$

where $\partial \mathbf{y} / \partial \mathbf{z}$ is the Jacobian matrix:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{z}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial z_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial z_{n}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial y_{m}}{\partial z_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y_{m}}{\partial z_{n}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Vector Form

## Examples

- Matrix-vector product

$$
\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{W} \quad \overline{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{W}^{\top} \overline{\mathbf{z}}
$$

- Elementwise operations

$$
\mathbf{y}=\exp (\mathbf{z}) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{z}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\exp \left(z_{1}\right) & & 0 \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & \exp \left(z_{D}\right)
\end{array}\right) \quad \overline{\mathbf{z}}=\exp (\mathbf{z}) \circ \overline{\mathbf{y}}
$$

- Note: we never explicitly construct the Jacobian. It's usually simpler and more efficient to compute the VJP directly.


## Vector Form

Full backpropagation algorithm (vector form):
Let $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{N}$ be a topological ordering of the computation graph (i.e. parents come before children.)
$\mathbf{v}_{N}$ denotes the variable we're trying to compute derivatives of (e.g. loss). It's a scalar, which we can treat as a 1-D vector.
forward pass $\quad$ For $i=1, \ldots, N$
Compute $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ as a function of $\operatorname{Pa}\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)$

$$
\overline{\mathbf{v}_{N}}=1
$$

$$
\text { For } i=N-1, \ldots, 1
$$

$$
\overline{\mathbf{v}_{i}}=\sum_{j \in \operatorname{Ch}\left(\mathbf{v}_{i}\right)} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{i}} \overline{\mathbf{v}_{j}}
$$

## Vector Form

MLP example in vectorized form:


Forward pass:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{W}^{(1)} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}^{(1)} \\
& \mathbf{h}=\sigma(\mathbf{z}) \\
& \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{W}^{(2)} \mathbf{h}+\mathbf{b}^{(2)} \\
& \mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{y}\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Backward pass:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{L}} & =1 \\
\overline{\mathbf{y}} & =\overline{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{t}) \\
\overline{\mathbf{W}^{(2)}} & =\overline{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{h}^{\top} \\
\overline{\mathbf{b}^{(2)}} & =\overline{\mathbf{y}} \\
\overline{\mathbf{h}} & =\mathbf{W}^{(2) \top} \overline{\mathbf{y}} \\
\overline{\mathbf{z}} & =\overline{\mathbf{h}} \circ \sigma^{\prime}(\mathbf{z}) \\
\overline{\mathbf{W}^{(1)}} & =\overline{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{x}^{\top} \\
\overline{\mathbf{b}^{(1)}} & =\overline{\mathbf{z}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Computational Cost

- Computational cost of forward pass: one add-multiply operation per weight

$$
z_{i}=\sum_{j} w_{i j}^{(1)} x_{j}+b_{i}^{(1)}
$$

- Computational cost of backward pass: two add-multiply operations per weight

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{w_{k i}^{(2)}} & =\overline{y_{k}} h_{i} \\
\overline{h_{i}} & =\sum_{k} \overline{y_{k}} w_{k i}^{(2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Rule of thumb: the backward pass is about as expensive as two forward passes.
- For a multilayer perceptron, this means the cost is linear in the number of layers, quadratic in the number of units per layer.


## Closing Thoughts

- Backprop is used to train the overwhelming majority of neural nets today.
- Even optimization algorithms much fancier than gradient descent (e.g. second-order methods) use backprop to compute the gradients.
- Despite its practical success, backprop is believed to be neurally implausible.
- No evidence for biological signals analogous to error derivatives.
- All the biologically plausible alternatives we know about learn much more slowly (on computers).
- So how on earth does the brain learn?


## Closing Thoughts

The psychological profiling [of a programmer] is mostly the ability to shift levels of abstraction, from low level to high level. To see something in the small and to see something in the large.

- Don Knuth
- By now, we've seen three different ways of looking at gradients:
- Geometric: visualization of gradient in weight space
- Algebraic: mechanics of computing the derivatives
- Implementational: efficient implementation on the computer
- When thinking about neural nets, it's important to be able to shift between these different perspectives!

